



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,041	09/29/2003	John Harvey	020366D1	2581

23696 7590 12/12/2008
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED
5775 MOREHOUSE DR.
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

EXAMINER

MARC, MCDIEUNEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3664

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

12/12/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com
kascanla@qualcomm.com
nanm@qualcomm.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/674,041	Applicant(s) HARVEY ET AL.	
	Examiner MCDIEUNEL MARC	Art Unit 3664	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MCDIEUNEL MARC. (3) Yan Latham, Reg., No. 49,085.

(2) Ashish L. Patel, Reg. No. 53,440. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 20,31,32,43,44 and 55.

Identification of prior art discussed: Autermann, Murphy and Tamir.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that autermann does not appear to teach transporting a vehicle operator identification to a remote location for validation and receiving from the remote location a reply message specifying which of said selected functions the operator is validated to operate. Examiner will reconsider and update the search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/McDieunel Marc/ Examiner, Art Unit 3664	/KHOI TRAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664
---	---